

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/772,702	HARTSELL, CLIFF	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Andrea M. Valenti	3643	

All Participants:

(1) Andrea M. Valenti.

(2) Christopher L. Makay.

Status of Application: Allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2006

Time: 5:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1 and 2

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contact applicant for approval for an examiner's amendment to clarify the roof structure presented in claim 1 and to indicate that the circumferential supports create a web network. Applicant approved the changes presented in the attached examiner's amendment..